SEP 1 9. 7005 Please type a plus sign (+) inside this box Π

09-14.05

PTO/SB/21 (6-99)

Approved for use through 09/30/2000. OMB 0651-0011

Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

EMARTE		Under the Paperwork Re	duction Act of 1995, no	persons are required to resp	ond to a colle	ection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control n		
TRANSMITTAL FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)				Application Number	er	10/015,387		
				Filing Date		DECEMBER 12, 2001		
				First Named Invent	tor	KEVIN P. BAKER		
				Group/Art Unit		1637		
				Examiner Name		FREDMAN, JEFFREY NORMAN		
Total Number of Pages in This Submission			21	Attorney Docket Nu	umber	39780-2830 P1C54		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ENCLOSU	RES (check all that app	ily)			
Fee Transmittal Form Fee Attached Response/Amendment After Final Version With Markings Showing Changes Affidavits/declaration(s) Extension of Time Request Information Disclosure Statement Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 Copy of Notice			Copy of an Assignment Drawing(s) Licensing-related Papers Petition Routing Slip (PTO/SB/69) and Accompanying Petition Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, by Assignee to Exclusion of Inventor Under 37 C.F.R. §3.71 With Revocation of Prior Powers Terminal Disclaimer Small Entity Statement Request for Refund Remarks			After Allowance Communication to Group Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Proprietary Information Status Letter ADDITIONAL ENCLOSURE(S) (PLEASE IDENTIFY BELOW): STAMPED RETURN POSTCARD		
			P1C54.			IG ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NO. 39780-2830		
			SIGNATURE OF AF	PLICANT, ATTORNEY				
Firm <i>or</i> Individual name		ER EHRMAN LLP Middlefield Road, Me	nlo Bark Californi			GREENE (Reg. No. 46,740) 24-7000		
Signature		mi Dun	cano rain, camorni	ia 34023 Telephone	(000) 32	Tabolillio. (000) 02-7000		
Date SEPTEMBER 12, 2005				Customer Numbe	189			
<u> </u>	1	"	CERTIFICA	TE OF EXPRESS MAIL	.ING			
37 C.F.R. §1.10 on	the date	respondence is being e indicated below and 1450, on this date: S	deposited with the addressed to: MA	United States Postal Se IL STOP APPEAL BRIE 105	rvice "Expr F - PATEN	ress Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under NTS, Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450,		
				Express Mail Labe	el EL 976	5 548 746 US		
Typed or printed na	ame	L. ACOSTA						
Signature			Vento	- "	Date	SEPTEMBER 12, 2005		

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop ___, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Under the Electronic Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to res

TO A NOMITTAL	
FEE TRANSMITTAL	Application
for FY 2005	Filing Da
101 F 1 2005	First Nan

Effective 10/01/2003. Patent fees are subject to annual revision.

Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27

TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$) 500.00

sportu to a collection of line	officialion diless it displays a valid OND conflict fulliber.
Co	omplete if Known
Application Number	10/015,387
Filing Date	December 12, 2001
First Named Inventor	Kevin P. Baker
Examiner Name	Jeffrey Norman Fredman
Art Unit	1637
Attorney Docket No.	39780-2830 P1C54

METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)				FEE CALCULATION (continued)					
Check Credit card Money Other None				3. ADDITIONAL FEES					
Deposit Account:							l Entity		
Deposit		4/00700 0000 D40)5.4\	Fee Code	Fee (\$)	Fee Code	Fee (\$)	Fee Description	Fee Paid
Account Number	08-164	1(39780-2830 P1C	.54)	1051	130	2051	65	Surcharge - late filing fee or oath	
Deposit Account	н	IELLER EHRMAN, LLP		1052	50	2052	25	Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover sheet	
	Name			1053	130	1053	130	Non-English specification	
The Director is authorized to: (check all that apply) Charge fee(s) indicated below Credit any overpayments			1812	2,520	1812	2,520	For filing a request for ex parte reexamination		
Charge any additional fee(s) or any underpayment of fee(s)			1804	920*	1804	920*	Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action		
Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee				1805	1,840*	1805	1,840*	Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action	
to the above-id				1251	120	2251	60	Extension for reply within first month	
4 04010 5		CALCULATION		1252	450	2252	225	Extension for reply within second month	<u> </u>
1. BASIC FI				1253	1,020	2253	510	Extension for reply within third month	
Fee Fee	Fee Fee	Fee Description	Fee Paid	1254	1,590	2254	795	Extension for reply within fourth month	
	Code (\$) 2001 150	Utility filing fee		1255	2,160	2255	1,080	Extension for reply within fifth month	
	2002 100	Design filing fee		1401	500	2401	250	Notice of Appeal	<u> </u>
	2003 100	Plant filing fee	 	1402	500	2402	250	Filing a brief in support of an appeal	500.00
1004 300	2004 150	Reissue filing fee		1403	1,000	2403	500	Request for oral hearing	<u> </u>
1005 200	2005 100	Provisional filing fee		1451	1,510	1451	1,510	Petition to institute a public use proceeding	
	,	SUBTOTAL (1) (\$)		1452	500	2452	250	Petition to revive - unavoidable	
2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES FOR UTILITY AND REISSUE				1453	1,500	2453	750	Petition to revive - unintentional	
Z. EXTRA C	LAIN FEE	Fee fro	m		1,400	2501	700	Utility issue fee (or reissue)	
Total Claims		Extra Claims below	Fee Paid	1502	800	2502	400	Design issue fee	
Independent)** =	1]		1,100	2503	550	Plant issue fee	
Claims Multiple Depe		' -		1460	130	1460	130	Petitions to the Commissioner	
Large Entity	Small Entity			1807	50	1807	50	Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17(q)	
Fee Fee	Fee Fee	<u>Fee Description</u>		1806	180	1806	180	Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt Recording each patent assignment per	
Code (\$)	Code (\$)	5 Claims in excess of 20		8021	40	8021	40	property (times number of properties)	
1202 50 1201 200	2202 2 2201 10			1809	790	2809	395	Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR 1.129(a))	
1203 360	2203 18	0 Multiple dependent cla	im, if not paid	1810	790	2810	395	For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR 1.129(b))	
1204 200	2204 10	 ** Reissue independen over original patent 	nt claims	1801	790	2801	395	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1205 50	2205 2	5 ** Reissue claims in ex		1802	900	1802	900	•	
				Other	r fee (s _l	pecify)			
SUBTOTAL (2) **or number previously paid, if greater; For Reissues, see above				*Red	uced by	y Basic	Filing f	Fee Paid SUBTOTAL (3) (\$)	500.00
or number previously pard, if greater, i or recissues, see above									

SUBMITTED BY

Name (Print/Type)

Barrie D. Greene

Registration No. (Attornev/Agent)

Registration No. (Attornev/Agent)

Date

September 12, 2005

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.17 and 1.27. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

EXPRESS MAIL LABEL NO.: EL 976 548 746 US

DATE MAILED: SEPTEMBER 12, 2005

ON APPEAL TO THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES APPELLANTS' BRIEF

MAIL STOP APPEAL BRIEF - PATENTS

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

On January 18, 2005, the Examiner made a final rejection to pending Claims 28-32 and 44-52. A Notice of Appeal was filed on July 15, 2005.

Appellants hereby appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences from the last decision of the Examiner.

The following constitutes Appellants' Brief on Appeal.

09/15/2005 EFLORES 00000022 081641 10015387

01 FC:1402

500.00 DA

7

1. REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

The real party in interest is Genentech, Inc., South San Francisco, California, by an

assignment of the patent application U.S. Serial No. 09/946,374 recorded January 8, 2002, at

Reel 012288 and Frame 0504.

2. RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

The claims pending in the current application are directed to a polypeptide referred to

herein as "PRO1382". There exist two related patent applications, (1) U.S. Serial No.

10/012,754, filed December 7, 2001 (containing claims directed to the PRO1382 polypeptides),

and (2) U.S. Serial No. 10/012,064, filed December 7, 2001 (containing claims directed to

antibodies that bind the PRO1382 polypeptide). The 10/012,064 application has been allowed.

The 10/012,754 application is also under final rejection from the same Examiner and based upon

the same outstanding rejection, and appeal of this final rejection is being pursued independently

and concurrently herewith.

3. STATUS OF CLAIMS

Claims 28-35, 38-40 and 44-52 are in this application.

Claims 1-27, 36-37 and 41-43 are canceled.

Claims 33-35 and 38-40 are allowed.

Claims 28-32 and 44-52 stand rejected and Appellants appeal the rejection of these

claims.

A copy of the rejected claims involved in the present Appeal is provided in the Claims

Appendix.

4. STATUS OF AMENDMENTS

There were no amendments to the claims submitted after final rejection. All previous

amendments to the claims have been entered.

-2-

Appeal Brief (Dated: Application Serial No. 10/015,387

Attorney's Docket No. 39780-2830 P1C54

5. SUMMARY OF CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER

The invention claimed in the present application is related to isolated nucleic acids e encoding a polypeptide having at least 80%, 85%, 90%, 95%, or 99% amino acid sequence identity to: the amino acid sequence of the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:220; the amino acid sequence of the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:220, lacking its associated signal peptide; the amino acid sequence of the polypeptide encoded by the full-length coding sequence of the nucleic acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:219; or the amino acid sequence of the polypeptide encoded by the fulllength coding sequence of the cDNA deposited under ATCC accession number 203246, wherein the encoded polypeptide induces proliferation of kidney mesangial cells (Claims 28-32). The invention is further directed to isolated nucleic acids encoding a polypeptide having at least 80%, 85%, 90%, 95%, or 99% amino acid sequence identity to: the amino acid sequence of the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:220; the amino acid sequence of the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:220, lacking its associated signal peptide; the amino acid sequence of the polypeptide encoded by the full-length coding sequence of the nucleic acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:219; or the amino acid sequence of the polypeptide encoded by the full-length coding sequence of the cDNA deposited under ATCC accession number 203246, wherein the encoded polypeptide induces proliferation of pancreatic β -cell precursor cells (Claims 48-52). The claims are further directed to vectors comprising the nucleic acids (Claims 44 and 45), and host cell comprising the nucleic acids (Claims 46 and 47).

The full-length PRO1382 polypeptide having the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:220 is described in the specification at, for example, page 18, lines 3-14, page 344, lines 26-32, Example 66, in Figure 126 and in SEQ ID NO:220. The cDNA nucleic acid encoding PRO1382 is described in the specification at, for example, Example 66, in Figure 125 and in SEQ ID NO:219. Page 292, lines 24-28 of the specification provides the description for Figures 125 and 126. PRO polypeptide variants having at least about 80% amino acid sequence identity with a full length PRO polypeptide sequence or a PRO polypeptide sequence lacking the signal peptide are described in the specification at, for example, page 283, lines 2-14. PRO polypeptide variants having at least about 80% amino acid sequence encoded by any of the disclosed human protein cDNAs deposited with the ATCC, are described in the specification at, for example, page 283, lines 15-27.

The isolation of cDNA clones encoding PRO1382 of SEQ ID NO:220 is described in Example 66. Methods for isolating PRO cDNA is generally set forth in the specification at, for example page 359, lines 11-34. Methods for selection and transformation of host cells with PRO cDNA is generally set forth in the specification at, for example, page 359, line 36, to page 361, line 24. Methods for selecting a vector are generally set forth in the specification at, for example, page 361, line 26, to page 363, line 25. Finally, Example 145, in the specification at page 509, lines 24-36, sets forth a Mouse Kidney Mesangial Cell Proliferation assay which shows that PRO1382 induces proliferation of mammalian kidney mesangial cells. Example 151 of the specification, at page 512, line 28, to page 513, line 29, sets forth an Induction of Pancreatic β -Cell Precursor Proliferation assay which shows that PRO1382 induces proliferation of pancreatic β -cell precursor cells.

6. GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL

I. Whether Claims 28-32 and 44-52 satisfy the written description requirement of 35 USC §112, first paragraph.

7. **ARGUMENT**

Summary of the Arguments

Claims 28-32 and 44-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, as allegedly lacking adequate written description for the recited nucleic acids encoding variants of the PRO1382 polypeptide (SEQ ID NO:220). Appellants note that the claims recite structural features, namely, 80% sequence identity to SEQ ID NO:220, which are common to the genus. The specification provides detailed guidance as to how to identify the recited variants of SEQ ID NO:220, including methods for determining percent identity between two amino acid sequences, as well as listings of exemplary and preferred sequence substitutions. The genus of polypeptides encoded by the claimed nucleic acids is <u>further</u> defined by having a specific functional activity, namely, that the polypeptide induces proliferation of kidney mesangial cells, or induces proliferation of pancreatic β -cell precursor cells. Examples 145 and 151 of the present application provides step-by-step guidelines and protocols for the mouse kidney mesangial cell proliferation assay and the pancreatic β -cell precursor proliferation assay.

By following the disclosure in the specification, one skilled in the art can easily test whether a variant PRO1382 protein induces proliferation of kidney mesangial cells, or induces proliferation of pancreatic β -cell precursor cells. Thus one of skill in the art could identify whether a variant PRO1382 sequence falls within the parameters of the claimed invention. Accordingly, a description of the claimed genus has been achieved by the recitation of both structural and functional characteristics.

The Examiner's assertion that the claimed genus of nucleic acids includes 4^{120} sequences is therefore incorrect, because the claimed genus includes only those nucleic acids encoding polypeptide variants having at least 80% identity to SEQ ID NO:220 which also have a specified activity, that is, inducing proliferation of kidney mesangial cells, or inducing proliferation of pancreatic β -cell precursor cells. Once the additional functional limitations are included, the genus is limited to a size where the demonstrated species suffices to represent the genus.

The Examiner has asserted that the recited function allegedly "provides absolutely no guidance or information regarding the structure and does not limit the structure in even the smallest or most miniscule possible way." (Page 7 of the Office Action mailed January 15, 2005). The recited functional limitations clearly limit the structure of the variants in the obvious sense that a protein lacking any structural similarity with SEQ ID NO:220 would not be expected to conserve the same function. It is not necessary that the functional limitation be directly linked to structure, because the claims already provide a structural limitation, in requiring that the claimed variants have at least 80% amino acid sequence identity to SEQ ID NO:220. Structurally unrelated polypeptides having a similar function would not be encompassed by claims requiring at least 80% amino acid sequence identity to SEQ ID NO:220.

The Examiner has further asserted that the Written Description Guidelines "require a structure function relationship." To the contrary, Example 14 of the Synopsis of Application of Written Description Guidelines issued by the U.S. Patent Office clearly states that protein variants meet the requirements of 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, as providing adequate written description for the claimed invention even if the specification contemplates but does not exemplify variants of the protein if (1) the procedures for making such variant proteins are routine in the art, (2) the specification provides an assay for detecting the functional activity of the protein and (3) the variant proteins possess the specified functional activity and a defined

degree of sequence identity to the reference sequence. Accordingly, the claimed variants meet the standards set forth in the Written Description Guidelines.

These arguments are discussed in further detail below.

Claims 28-32 and 44-52 Satisfy the Written Description Requirement of 35 U.S.C. §112, First Paragraph

Claims 28-32 and 44-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, as allegedly containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The Examiner has asserted that "the genus includes variants for which no written description is provided in the specification. This large genus is represented in the specification by only the particularly named SEQ ID NO:219. Thus, applicant has express possession of only one particular nucleic acid sequence in a genus which comprises hundreds of millions of different possibilities. Here, no common element or attributes of the sequences are disclosed, not even the presence of certain domains." (Page 3 of the Office Action mailed January 15, 2005).

Appellants submit, for the reasons set forth below, that the specification provides an adequate written description for the claimed PRO1382 nucleic acid variants.

A. The Legal Test for Written Description

The well-established test for sufficiency of support under the written description requirement of 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph is "whether the disclosure of the application as originally filed reasonably conveys to the artisan that the inventor had possession at that time of the later claimed subject matter, rather than the presence or absence of literal support in the specification for the claim language." The adequacy of written description support is a factual issue and is to be determined on a case-by-case basis. The factual determination in a written

¹ In re Kaslow, 707 F.2d 1366, 1374, 212 U.S.P.Q. 1089, 1096 (Fed. Cir. 1983).

² See also Vas-Cath, Inc. v. Mahurkar, 935 F.2d at 1563, 19 U.S.P.Q.2d at 1116 (Fed. Cir. 1991).

³ See e.g., Vas-Cath, 935 F.2d at 1563; 19 U.S.P.Q.2d at 1116.

description analysis depends on the nature of the invention and the amount of knowledge imparted to those skilled in the art by the disclosure. 4 5

In Environmental Designs, Ltd. v. Union Oil Co.,⁶, the Federal Circuit held, "Factors that may be considered in determining level of ordinary skill in the art include (1) the educational level of the inventor; (2) type of problems encountered in the art; (3) prior art solutions to those problems; (4) rapidity with which innovations are made; (5) sophistication of the technology; and (6) educational level of active workers in the field." (Emphasis added).⁷ Further, The "hypothetical 'person having ordinary skill in the art' to which the claimed subject matter pertains would, of necessity have the capability of understanding the scientific and engineering principles applicable to the pertinent art." ⁸ 9

B. The Disclosure Provides Sufficient Written Description for the Claimed Invention

Appellants respectfully submit that the instant specification evidences the actual reduction to practice of a full-length PRO1382 polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:220, with or without its signal sequence. The Examiner has acknowledged that nucleic acids encoding a polypeptide comprising the sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO:220 (as in Claims 33-35) meets the written description provision of 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph. Thus, the genus of nucleic acids that encode polypeptides with at least 80% sequence identity to SEQ ID NO:220, which possess the functional property of either inducing proliferation of kidney mesangial cells, or inducing proliferation of pancreatic β -cell precursor cells, would meet the requirement of 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, as providing adequate written description.

Appellants have provided native PRO sequence SEQ ID NO:220, and SEQ ID NO:219 which encodes it. The present application also describes methods for identifying proteins which

⁴ Union Oil v. Atlantic Richfield Co., 208 F.2d 989, 996 (Fed. Cir. 2000).

⁵ See also M.P.E.P. §2163 II(A).

⁶ 713 F.2d 693, 696, 218 U.S.P.Q. 865, 868 (Fed. Cir. 1983), cert. denied, 464 U.S. 1043 (1984).

⁷ See also M.P.E.P. §2141.03.

⁸ Ex parte Hiyamizu, 10 U.S.P.Q.2d 1393, 1394 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1988) (emphasis added).

⁹ See also M.P.E.P. §2141.03.

induce proliferation of kidney mesangial cells or pancreatic β -cell precursor cells. Example 145 of the present application provides step-by-step guidelines and protocols for the mouse kidney mesangial cell proliferation assay. By following the disclosure in the specification, one skilled in the art can easily test whether a polypeptide encoded by a variant PRO1382 nucleic acid induces proliferation of kidney mesangial cells. Example 151 of the present application provides step-by-step guidelines and protocols for the pancreatic β -cell precursor proliferation assay. By following the disclosure in the specification, one skilled in the art can easily test whether a polypeptide encoded by a variant PRO1382 nucleic acid induces proliferation of pancreatic β -cell precursor cells.

The specification also describes methods for the determination of percent identity between two amino acid sequences. (See page 302, line 4 to page 305, line 4). In fact, the specification teaches specific parameters to be associated with the term "percent identity" as applied to the present invention. The specification further provides detailed guidance as to changes that may be made to a PRO polypeptide without adversely affecting its activity (page 354, line 30 to page 357, line 7). This guidance includes a listing of exemplary and preferred substitutions for each of the twenty naturally occurring amino acids (Table 6, page 356). Accordingly, one of skill in the art could identify whether a variant PRO1382 sequence falls within the parameters of the claimed invention. Once such an amino acid sequence was identified, the specification sets forth methods for making the amino acid sequences (see page 354, line 30 to page 358, line 34) and methods of preparing the PRO polypeptides (see page 358, line 35 and onward). Methods of isolating nucleic acid sequences encoding PRO polypeptides and polypeptide variants are described in the specification at, for example, page 364, lines 25-38. Accordingly, one of skill in the art could identify whether a variant PRO1382 nucleic acid sequence falls within the parameters of the claimed invention.

Accordingly, the specification provides adequate written description for nucleic acids encoding polypeptides having at least 80% identity to SEQ ID NO:220 wherein the encoded polypeptide induces proliferation of kidney mesangial cells, or induces proliferation of pancreatic β -cell precursor cells.

The Examiner has asserted,

It is the absence of any real structure function relationship and the absence of a representative number of species which supports the conclusion that there is insufficient descriptive support for the current claims. This argument rests on several grounds. First, the single sequence that is actually described is not representative of the genus of any sequence which hybridizes under the claimed conditions. Second, the claims entirely lack a structure function relationship since the function given has no ability to limit the genus of polypeptides. Third, the claim encompasses species other than human.

(Page 6 of the Office Action mailed January 15, 2005).

Appellants respectfully point out that the claims are not directed to hybridizing sequences. The claims recite nucleic acids defined as encoding polypeptides having a specified degree of sequence identity to SEQ ID NO:220, as well as a specified function. Thus the Examiner's first point is not relevant to the claims at issue. Regarding the second point, Appellants respectfully note that the claims are directed to nucleic acids, not polypeptides.

The Examiner has further asserted that the genus of polypeptides encoded by the claimed nucleic acids allegedly "represents every possible variation which could occur in SEQ ID NO:220, that has 80% identity," and that this genus includes 4^{120} sequences. This statement is incorrect. The claimed genus of nucleic acids includes only those encoding polypeptide variants having at least 80% identity to SEQ ID NO:220 which also have a specified activity, that is, inducing proliferation of kidney mesangial cells, or inducing proliferation of pancreatic β -cell precursor cells.

The Examiner has asserted that the recited function allegedly "provides absolutely no guidance or information regarding the structure and does not limit the structure in even the smallest or most miniscule possible way." (Page 7 of the Office Action mailed January 15, 2005). Appellants respectfully disagree. First, the functional limitation clearly limits the structure of the variants in the obvious sense that a protein lacking any structural similarity with SEQ ID NO:220 would not be expected to conserve the same function. Second, it is not necessary that the functional limitation be directly linked to structure, because the claims already provide a structural limitation, in requiring that the polypeptide variants encoded by the claimed nucleic acids have at least 80% amino acid sequence identity to SEQ ID NO:220. Appellants recognize that there may be polypeptides that induce proliferation of kidney mesangial cells or pancreatic β -cell precursor cells through mechanisms unrelated to those of PRO1382, and thus do

not resemble PRO1382 in structure. These structurally unrelated polypeptides, however, would not be encompassed by claims requiring at least 80% amino acid sequence identity to SEQ ID NO:220. Appellants claim only those nucleic acids which encode proteins meeting both limitations of the claims, structural and functional. Given the structural limitation, the additional functional limitation clearly acts to further define the claimed genus.

As the Examiner has pointed out, the size of the genus is a central issue, because if the genus were smaller, a written description issue would be less likely, since the examples would be more representative of the genus. (Page 8 of the Office Action mailed January 15, 2004). As discussed above, the claims do not encompass anywhere near the extremely large number of species stated in the Office Action, because the Examiner's calculation is based solely upon the structural limitation of at least 80% sequence identity. Once the additional functional limitations are included, the genus is limited to a size where the demonstrated species suffices to represent the genus.

The Office Action appears to interpret the quoted statement in *The Regents of the University of California v. Eli Lilly and Co.* (43 U.S.P.Q.2d 1398 (Fed. Cir. 1997)) that a definition by function does not suffice to define a genus, as meaning that functional limitations are without significance, and may be ignored. (See page 4 of the Office Action mailed January 15, 2005). Appellants do not, as in *Lilly*, claim nucleic acid sequences solely by their functional utility or expected result. Rather, the recited functional limitations serve to supplement the recited structural limitations. Furthermore, the recited functional limitations do not set forth only a "useful result," but the features which achieve that result, as required by *Lilly*. The claims do not recite proteins of unspecified characteristics that are useful in treating kidney diseases or disorders associated with decreased β -cell function, such as diabetes mellitus. The claims recite proteins which have a specific activity, inducing proliferation of kidney mesangial cells or pancreatic β -cell precursor cells, which can be measured by assays disclosed within the specification.

The Office Action also appears to argue that Appellants must provide a single limitation that describes both structural and functional attributes together, asserting that the Written Description Guidelines "require a structure function relationship." (Page 9 of the Office Action mailed January 15, 2004).

The Office Action fails to explain where this requirement is found in the Written Description Guidelines. Appellants respectfully note that there is no "structure function relationship" provided in Example 9 of the Written Description Guidlines. Rather, the claims in Example 9 resemble the instant claims in providing both a structural limitation (given that nucleic acids which hybridize to the reference sequence under stringent conditions would share significant sequence identity), together with a separate functional limitation, that the encoded polypeptides have adenylate cyclase activity. Since the structural limitation pertains to the nucleic acids, while the functional limitation pertains to the encoded polypeptides, it is difficult to see how there can be a direct structure function relationship.

The Board's attention is respectfully directed to Example 14 of the Synopsis of Application of Written Description Guidelines issued by the U.S. Patent Office, which clearly states that protein variants meet the requirements of 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, as providing adequate written description for the claimed invention even if the specification contemplates but does not exemplify variants of the protein if (1) the procedures for making such variant proteins are routine in the art, (2) the specification provides an assay for detecting the functional activity of the protein and (3) the variant proteins possess the specified functional activity and at least 95% sequence identity to the reference sequence.

As discussed above, the procedures for making the claimed variant proteins are well known in the art and described in the specification. The specification also provides assays, shown in Example 145 and Example 151, for detecting the recited functional activity of the claimed variants. Finally, the claimed variant proteins possess both the specified functional activity and a defined degree of sequence identity to the reference sequence, SEQ ID NO:220. Accordingly, the recited variants, and the claimed nucleic acids that encode them, meet the standards set forth in the Written Description Guidelines.

Finally, the Examiner has asserted that Appellants' claims suffer from the same flaw as in Lilly, since the instant claims would allegedly encompass sequences from other species.

Appellants respectfully point out that the issue is not whether the claims encompass sequences from other species, but whether the claimed sequences are adequately described. The assumption that any claim which covers sequences from another species is automatically too broad would lead to the perverse result that a genus that was more highly conserved across species would be

harder to provide written description for, since any given degree of sequence identity would be more likely to include the variants from other species.

As discussed above, the claimed sequences are defined both by a structural limitation (encoding proteins having at least 80% amino acid sequence identity to a described reference sequence), and by a functional limitation, (encoding proteins having a specific biological activity, as measured by specific, disclosed assays). This biological activity, coupled with a well defined, and relatively high degree of sequence identity, sufficiently defines the claimed genus, such that one skilled in the art would readily recognize that the Appellants were in the possession of the invention claimed at the effective filing date of this application.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons given above, Appellants submit that Claims 28-32 and 44-52 meet the written description requirement of 35 USC §112, first paragraph.

Accordingly, reversal of the rejection of claims 28-32 and 44-52 under 35 § U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, is respectfully requested.

Please charge any additional fees, including fees for additional extension of time, or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. <u>08-1641</u> (referencing Attorney's Docket No. <u>39780-2830 P1C54</u>). Please direct any calls in connection with this application to the undersigned at the number provided below.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: September 12, 2005

By: Burn Dun
Barrie D. Greene (Reg. No. 46,740)

HELLER EHRMAN LLP

275 Middlefield Road Menlo Park, California 94025-3506

Telephone: (650) 324-7000 Facsimile: (650) 324-0638

SV 2147388 v1 9/9/05 4:16 PM (39780.2830)



8.

Claims on Appeal

- 28. An isolated nucleic acid encoding a polypeptide having at least 80% sequence identity to:
 - (a) the amino acid sequence of the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:220;
- (b) the amino acid sequence of the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:220, lacking its associated signal peptide;
- (c) the amino acid sequence of the polypeptide encoded by the full-length coding sequence of the nucleic acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:219; or
- (d) the amino acid sequence of the polypeptide encoded by the full-length coding sequence of the cDNA deposited under ATCC accession number 203246,

wherein the encoded polypeptide induces proliferation of kidney mesangial cells.

- 29. The isolated nucleic acid of Claim 28 encoding a polypeptide having at least 85% sequence identity to:
 - (a) the amino acid sequence of the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:220;
- (b) the amino acid sequence of the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:220, lacking its associated signal peptide;
- (c) the amino acid sequence of the polypeptide encoded by the full-length coding sequence of the nucleic acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:219; or
- (d) the amino acid sequence of the polypeptide encoded by the full-length coding sequence of the cDNA deposited under ATCC accession number 203246,

wherein the encoded polypeptide induces proliferation of kidney mesangial cells.

- 30. The isolated nucleic acid of Claim 28 encoding a polypeptide having at least 90% sequence identity to:
 - (a) the amino acid sequence of the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:220;
- (b) the amino acid sequence of the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:220, lacking its associated signal peptide;

- (c) the amino acid sequence of the polypeptide encoded by the full-length coding sequence of the nucleic acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:219; or
- (d) the amino acid sequence of the polypeptide encoded by the full-length coding sequence of the cDNA deposited under ATCC accession number 203246,

wherein the encoded polypeptide induces proliferation of kidney mesangial cells.

- 31. The isolated nucleic acid of Claim 28 encoding a polypeptide having at least 95% sequence identity to:
 - (a) the amino acid sequence of the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:220;
- (b) the amino acid sequence of the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:220, lacking its associated signal peptide;
- (c) the amino acid sequence of the polypeptide encoded by the full-length coding sequence of the nucleic acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:219; or
- (d) the amino acid sequence of the polypeptide encoded by the full-length coding sequence of the cDNA deposited under ATCC accession number 203246,

wherein the encoded polypeptide induces proliferation of kidney mesangial cells.

- 32. The isolated nucleic acid of Claim 28 encoding a polypeptide having at least 99% sequence identity to:
 - (a) the amino acid sequence of the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:220;
- (b) the amino acid sequence of the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:220, lacking its associated signal peptide;
- (c) the amino acid sequence of the polypeptide encoded by the full-length coding sequence of the nucleic acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:219; or
- (d) the amino acid sequence of the polypeptide encoded by the full-length coding sequence of the cDNA deposited under ATCC accession number 203246,

wherein the encoded polypeptide induces proliferation of kidney mesangial cells.

- 33. An isolated nucleic acid comprising:
- (a) a nucleic acid sequence encoding the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:220;

- (b) a nucleic acid sequence encoding the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:220, lacking its associated signal peptide;
 - (c) the nucleic acid sequence shown in Figure 125 (SEQ ID NO:219);
- (d) the full-length coding sequence of the nucleic acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:219; or
- (e) the full-length coding sequence of the cDNA deposited under ATCC accession number 203246.
- 34. The isolated nucleic acid of Claim 33 comprising a nucleic acid sequence encoding the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:220.
- 35. The isolated nucleic acid of Claim 33 comprising a nucleic acid sequence encoding the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:220, lacking its associated signal peptide.
- 38. The isolated nucleic acid of Claim 33 comprising the nucleic acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:219.
- 39. The isolated nucleic acid of Claim 33 comprising the full-length coding sequence of the nucleic acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:219.
- 40. The isolated nucleic acid of Claim 33 comprising the full-length coding sequence of the cDNA deposited under ATCC accession number 203246.
 - 44. A vector comprising the nucleic acid of Claim 28 or 48.
- 45. The vector of Claim 44, wherein said nucleic acid is operably linked to control sequences recognized by a host cell transformed with the vector.
 - 46. A host cell comprising the vector of Claim 44.
- 47. The host cell of Claim 46, wherein said cell is a CHO cell, an *E. coli* or a yeast cell.

- 48. An isolated nucleic acid encoding a polypeptide having at least 80% sequence identity to:
 - (a) the amino acid sequence of the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:220;
- (b) the amino acid sequence of the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:220, lacking its associated signal peptide;
- (c) the amino acid sequence of the polypeptide encoded by the full-length coding sequence of the nucleic acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:219; or
- (d) the amino acid sequence of the polypeptide encoded by the full-length coding sequence of the cDNA deposited under ATCC accession number 203246,

wherein the encoded polypeptide induces proliferation of pancreatic β -cell precursor cells.

- 49. The isolated nucleic acid of Claim 48 encoding a polypeptide having at least 85% sequence identity to:
 - (a) the amino acid sequence of the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:220;
- (b) the amino acid sequence of the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:220, lacking its associated signal peptide;
- (c) the amino acid sequence of the polypeptide encoded by the full-length coding sequence of the nucleic acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:219; or
- (d) the amino acid sequence of the polypeptide encoded by the full-length coding sequence of the cDNA deposited under ATCC accession number 203246,

wherein the encoded polypeptide induces proliferation of pancreatic β -cell precursor cells.

- 50. The isolated nucleic acid of Claim 48 encoding a polypeptide having at least 90% sequence identity to:
 - (a) the amino acid sequence of the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:220;
- (b) the amino acid sequence of the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:220, lacking its associated signal peptide;

- (c) the amino acid sequence of the polypeptide encoded by the full-length coding sequence of the nucleic acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:219; or
- (d) the amino acid sequence of the polypeptide encoded by the full-length coding sequence of the cDNA deposited under ATCC accession number 203246,

wherein the encoded polypeptide induces proliferation of pancreatic β -cell precursor cells.

- 51. The isolated nucleic acid of Claim 48 encoding a polypeptide having at least 95% sequence identity to:
 - (a) the amino acid sequence of the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:220;
- (b) the amino acid sequence of the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:220, lacking its associated signal peptide;
- (c) the amino acid sequence of the polypeptide encoded by the full-length coding sequence of the nucleic acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:219; or
- (d) the amino acid sequence of the polypeptide encoded by the full-length coding sequence of the cDNA deposited under ATCC accession number 203246,

wherein the encoded polypeptide induces proliferation of pancreatic β -cell precursor cells.

- 52. The isolated nucleic acid of Claim 48 encoding a polypeptide having at least 99% sequence identity to:
 - (a) the amino acid sequence of the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:220;
- (b) the amino acid sequence of the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:220, lacking its associated signal peptide;
- (c) the amino acid sequence of the polypeptide encoded by the full-length coding sequence of the nucleic acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:219; or
- (d) the amino acid sequence of the polypeptide encoded by the full-length coding sequence of the cDNA deposited under ATCC accession number 203246,

wherein the encoded polypeptide induces proliferation of pancreatic β -cell precursor cells.

SV 2147388 v1 9/9/05 4:16 PM (39780.2830)